Item Name: Rubric for Assessing Rubrics

Document #: 160

Author: Dr. Bonnie B. Mullinix

**Institution:** Monmouth University

Discipline: Any

Type of Item: rubric

Type of Rubric: holistic

File Type: pdf

### Appropriate for use at these levels

Any: yes Classroom: yes
Academic Program: yes Institution: yes

### Description

This rubric includes definitions of four levels of performance for each of six areas of evaluation criteria: clarity of criteria, distinction between levels, reliability of scoring, clarity of expectations/guidance to learners, support of metacognition (awareness of learning), and engagement of learners in rubric development/ use.

#### **Copyright Information**

Dr. Bonnie B. Mullinix @ Monmouth University, December 2003

#### **Disclaimer**

The information provided in this document is static and retrieved at a specific point in time. Therefore, this information may not represent updates or revisions made since its retrieval. The Office of University Evaluation and The Center for Learning and Teaching Excellence at Arizona State University make no guarantees concerning the accuracy, completeness, reliability, or suitability of the information for your needs.

Trademarks, service marks, logos, and/or domain names are the property of their respective owners, who have no association with or make any endorsement of information provided by this service.

We identified the source of all material used in this service wherever possible, however, specific copyright information is continually updated.

# A Rubric for Rubrics

# A Tool for Assessing the Quality and Use of Rubrics in Education

Criteria	1 Unacceptable	2 Acceptable	3 Good/Solid	4 Exemplary
	Criteria being assessed are unclear, inappropriate and/or have significant overlap	Criteria being assessed can be identified, but are not clearly differentiated or are inappropriate		Each criteria is distinct, clearly delineated and fully appropriate for the assignment(s)/course
Distinction between Levels	Little/no distinction can be made between levels of achievement	Some distinction between levels is made, but is not totally clear how well	IDietinction between levele is	Each level is distinct and progresses in a clear and logical order
Reliability of Scoring		Cross-scoring by faculty and/or students occasionally produces inconsistent results		Cross-scoring of assignments using rubric results in consistent agreement among scorers
Clarity of Expectations/ Guidance to Learners	Rubric is not shared with learners	Rubric is shared and provides some idea of the assignment/ expectations	introduce an assignment/guide	Rubric serves as primary reference point for discussion and guidance for assignments as well as evaluation of assignment(s),
Support of Metacognition (Awareness of Learning)	Rubric is not shared with learners	Rubric is shared but not discussed/ referenced with respect to what is being learned through the assignment(s)/course	a tool for helping learners to understand what they are	Rubric is regularly referenced and used to help learners identify the skills and knowledge they are developing throughout the course/ assignment(s)
Engagement of Learners in Rubric Development/ Use *	Learners are not engaged in either development or use of the rubrics	Learners offered the rubric and may choose to use it for self assessment	input and are responsible for use of rubrics in peer and/or self-	Faculty and learners are jointly responsible for design of rubrics and learners use them in peer and/or self-evaluation

\*Considered optional by some educators and a critical component by others

Scoring: 0 - 10 = needs improvement 11 - 15 = workable 16 - 20 = solid/good 21 - 24 = exemplary

December 2003

Dr. Bonnie B. Mullinix © Monmouth University